COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) ST. JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

4.0.3: The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

Assessment Measure #1: Field Evaluation					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Values, Skills,				
	Cognitive and Affective				
	Processes				
When/where students are assessed:	End of year, Field study				
Who assessed student competence:	Field Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:					
Assessment Measure #2: Psychosocial	Assessment Paper				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge				
When/where students are assessed:	SW 501 (Practice I)				
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert Scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1, 7, 9:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1,7,9:					
Assessment Measure #3: Positio					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Cognitive and Affective				
	Processes				
When/where students are assessed:	SW 523 (Diversity)				
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert Scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competency 2:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 2:					
Assessment Measure #4: Policy Brief					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Skills				
When/where students are assessed:	SW 515 (Policy I)				
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert Scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competency 3:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 3:					

Assessment Measure #5: Article Reviews				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge			
When/where students are assessed:	SW 513 (HBSE II)			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert Scale			
indicative of achievement) for Competency 4:				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%			
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,				
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 4:				
Assessment Measure #6: Gap	Analysis			
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge			
When/where students are assessed:	SW 517 (Policy II)			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert Scale			
indicative of achievement) for Competency 5:				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%			
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,				
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 5:				
Assessment Measure #7: Applying	Theory paper			
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge			
When/where students are assessed:	SW 503 (Practice II)			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert Scale			
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 6,8:				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%			
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,				
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 6,8:				

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

Students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add, that reflect the area of specialized practice. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of areas of specialized practice and each measure included in the data presented.

Area of Specialized Practice #1: COMMUNITY PRACTICE

Assessment Measure #1: Field Evaluation			
Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Values, Skills,			
	Cognitive and Affective		
	Processes		
When/where students are assessed:	End of year, Specialized Practice		
	Field Study		

Who assessed student competence:	Field Instructor					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale					
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:						
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%					
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,						
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:						
Assessment Measure #2: Community Meeting	g and Issue Assessment					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Cognitive and Affective					
	Processes, Knowledge, Skills					
When/where students are assessed:	SW 601, Community Practice					
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale					
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1, 5, 6:						
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%					
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,						
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:						
Assessment Measure #3: Family Ge	nogram Project					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Cognitive and Affective					
	Processes					
When/where students are assessed:	SW 603, Community Practice					
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale					
indicative of achievement) for Competency 2:						
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%					
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,						
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 2:						
Assessment Measure #4: Issue Interv	vention Proposal					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Skills, Values, Knowledge					
When/where students are assessed:	SW 601, Community Practice					
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale					
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 3,8:						
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%					
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,						
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 3,8:						
Assessment Measure #5: SWOT Analysis						
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Skills					
When/where students are assessed:	SW 601, Community Practice					
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale					
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 7, 9:						
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%					
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,						
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 7, 9:						
Assessment Measure #5: Community Practice Research Project						
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge					

When/where students are assessed:	SW 611, Community Practice	
	Research	
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty	
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale	
indicative of achievement) for Competency 4:		
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%	
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,		
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 4:		

Area of Specialized Practice #2: CLINICAL PRACTICE

Assessment Measure #1: Field Evaluation					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Values, Skills,				
	Cognitive and Affective				
	Processes				
When/where students are assessed:	End of year, Specialized Practice				
	Field Study				
Who assessed student competence:	Field Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:					
Assessment Measure #2: Practice Skills	-				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Cognitive and Affective				
	Processes				
When/where students are assessed:	SW 601, Community Practice				
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competency 1:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 1:					
Assessment Measure #3: Family Ge	nogram Project				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Values, Skills,				
	Cognitive and Affective				
	Processes				
When/where students are assessed:	SW 603, Community Practice				
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale				
indicative of achievement) for Competency 2:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%				
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,					
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 2:					

Assessment Measure #4: Case Study				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Cognitive and			
	Affective Processes, Knowledge,			
	Skills, Values			
When/where students are assessed:	SW 601, Community Practice			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale			
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 3, 6, 7, 8,				
9:				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%			
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,				
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 3, 8:				
Assessment Measure #5: Clinical Practice Research Project				
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, Values, Skills,			
	Cognitive and Affective			
	Processes			
When/where students are assessed:	SW 611, Community Practice			
	Research			
Who assessed student competence:	Faculty			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	4 out of 5 on Likert scale			
indicative of achievement) for Competency 4:				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%			
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,				
inclusive of all measures) for Competency 4:				

Directions for completing Form AS 4

Indicate the benchmark percentage for each competency. The competency benchmark is the percent of students the program expects to have achieved both/all outcome measure benchmarks. Programs calculate the percentage of students achieving each outcome measure benchmark, then calculate the percentage of students achieving each competency inclusive of two or more measures for each program option. Programs with multiple program options must present data for each program option, and in aggregate inclusive of all program options per competency. Programs may add/delete columns and to accurately reflect the number of program options offered. This is a required form. The assessment data table may be altered to accurately reflect the number of program options offered and additional program-developed competencies. However, beyond these formatting alternations, the program may not alter the content of this form.

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2022-2023) Program Option #1: Patchogue, NY *SJNY currently offers only one program option*

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
			Generalist Practice n = 26	Area of Specialized Practice #1 (Community Practice)	Area of Specialized Practice #2 (Clinical Practice)
				n = (Number of students)	n = (Number of students)
Competency 1: Demonstrate	80% of students will demonstrate	80% of students will demonstrate	100 + 81/2=		
Ethical and Professional Behavior	competence inclusive of 2 measures	competence inclusive of 2 measures	90.5% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available
Competency 2: Engage	80% of students will demonstrate	80% of students will demonstrate	94 + 88/2=		
Diversity and Difference in Practice	competence inclusive of 2 measures	competence inclusive of 2 measures	91% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and	80% of students will demonstrate	80% of students will demonstrate	88 + 92/2=		
Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	competence inclusive of 2 measures	competence inclusive of 2 measures	90% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available

Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	88 + 89/2= 88.5% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	88 + 81/2= 84.5% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	88 + 81/2= 84.5% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	94 + 81/2= 87.5% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations,	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 measures	82 + 81/2= 81.5% achieved competency	No data yet available	No data yet available

and Communities					
	000/ of students	000/ of students			
Evaluate Practice	80% of students	80% of students will demonstrate	82 + 81/2=		
with Individuals,				No data yet available	No data yet available
Families, Groups,	competence inclusive of 2	competence inclusive of 2	81.5%	No data yet available	NO UALA YEL AVAIIADIE
Organizations,			achieved competency		
and Communities	measures	measures			